

STEM imaging on Tescan's TENSOR



Luiz H. G. Tizei Lab. de Physique des Solides (LPS), CNRS, U. Paris-Saclay, France

Antonin Loieset, Martien den Hertog Institut Néél, CNRS, Grenoble, France

AIN/GaN nanowire from: Eva Monroy *CEA, Grenoble, France*







FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES D'ORSAY





I was at the wrong place at the wrong time



Introduction:

STEM imaging: some information on the physics behind A description of the sample: GaN/AIN heterostructure in nanowires

Experiment:

Setup the microscope (look at a ronchigram) Try HAADF imaging. Need of tilting to a zone axis Try MADF to see diffraction effects Try ABF (maybe we can see N atoms?) Some 4D-STEM "virtual" imaging Can we find a inversion-domain in a nanowire?

Electron scattering: imaging and spectroscopy



(CNrs)

O. Cretu, et al Phys. Rev. Lett. (2015)



CL: L.F. Zagonel and M. Kociak *Ultramic*. **176** 112(2017) **EELS:** J. Garcia de Abajo, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **82**, 209 (2010) Inelastic scattering (spectroscopy) EELS: absorption



J. Nelayah, *et al. Nat. Phys.* **3** 348 (2007)

4

CL: excitation of a decay channel probability



Also EEGS, EDS, Auger ...



HAADF, MADF, BF and ABF: a matter of angles

Imaging as a function of scattering angle



FIGURE 22.13. Schematic of the HAADF detector setup for Z-contrast imaging in a STEM. The conventional ADF and BF detectors are also shown along with the range of electron scattering angles gathered by each detector.

Williams and Carter, *Transmission electron microscopy* (1991/2010) (you can win one on the final quiz)

HAADF physics: Rutherford scattering

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{el}}}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \frac{4Z^2 R^4 (1 + E/E_0)^2}{a_H^2} \frac{1}{[1 + (\theta/\theta_0)^2]^2}$$

with $\theta_0 = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi R}$; $R = a_{\mathrm{H}} Z^{-1/3}$.

Kohl and Reimer, *Transmission electron microscopy: Physics of image formation* (1984/208) (Very nice book)



How to detect electrons?

Williams and Carter, *Transmission electron microscopy* (1991/2010) (you can win one on the final quiz)



HAADF, MADF and ABF: examples

HAADF imaging without aberration correction (300 keV)



Figure 1–27. Sublattice sensitivity in a 300 kV VG Microscopes HB603U STEM. Z-contrast images of (a) Si and (b) GaAs (110) with line traces averaged vertically within the *white rectangles*, adapted from Pennycook et al. (1996).

HAADF imaging with aberration correction (100 keV)



Figure 1–33. Z-contrast image of Bi-doped Si (110) taken with a VG Microscopes HB501UX with Nion aberration corrector operating at 100 kV, revealing columns containing individual Bi atoms. The upper intensity profile shows a Bi atom on the *right-hand column* of a Si dumbbell and the lower profile shows a Bi atom in each of the two columns of a dumbbell. Reproduced from Lupini and Pennycook (2003) and Pennycook et al. (2003b).

Pennycook and Nellist, Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy. (2011)

Si dumbells distance = 0.136 nm



HAADF, MADF and ABF: examples



Single atom identification (not ideal, EELS is "better")



O. Krivanek, et al., Nature, 464, 571 (2010)



HAADF, MADF and ABF: examples

HAADF and ABF of TiO_2 and $SrTiO_3$



FIG. 1. (Color online) HAADF (left) and ABF (right) images (raw data) of (a) and (b) TiO_2 [001], and (c) and (d) $SrTiO_3$ [110]. Simulations and projected structures are given in the insets.

Simulation, sample thickness and defocus are the key



FIG. 2. (Color online) Defocus-thickness map for ABF images of $SrTiO_3$ viewed along [110]. The same imaging parameters as for Fig. 1 are assumed, excepting finite source size effects.

CL GaN quantum disks in AIN nanowires

Light emission occurs due to electron and hole (missing electron) pair recombination, possibly with excitonic effects

CNrs





1) Electron and holes are represented by wavefunctions of a given size (nanometric here)

2) In a bulk material, the difference in energy between electrons and holes is the forbidden energy band gap (consequence of crystal structure + Schrödinger equation)

3) When they are in a **confined** space, their **energy is increased** (consequence of Schrödinger equation)

4) So the emission energy depends on the quantum well size

N. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, Solid State Physics M. Cardona, Fundamentals of Semiconductors

S. Meuret, et al. ACS Photon. 3 1157 (2016)

To experiments!